Injector Linac Status

Feb. 22nd, 2020

Masanori Satoh (KEK, Acc. Lab.)
for Injector Linac Group

Contents

- Injector overview
- e-/e+ beam status
- Beam abort caused by abnormal injection beam
  - Abnormal orbit (pulsed magnet issue)
  - Abnormal energy (rf failure)
- Feedback loops
- Summary and plan

Injector: Simultaneous top-up to five rings

- Photocathode RF gun for HER injection
- Thermionic gun for LER (via DR), PF, PF-AR





Pulse to pulse switching: rf e- gun/thermionic e- gun

Thermionic DC e- gun (GU_AT)
w/ 2 subharmonic bunchers and 2 bunchers
- e+ production e-: 10 nC (for LER injection)
- e- study/HER injection: 1 nC
- PF injection: 0.3 nC
- PF-AR injection: 0.3 nC



RF e- gun
（GR_A1 for HER injection）

Pulsed bend rep. up to 25 Hz (LER + PF + PF-AR)
(magnet coil and chamber heating issue)
It will be replaced by new one in summer shutdown 2020.

Low emittance rf gun

QTW RF gun or operation (0 deg. Line)







Photocathode
(metal composite: IrCe)

CDS RF gun
for backup (90 deg. Line)





- Yb-doped-fiber and Nd/Yb:YAG laser
- IrCe cathode
- QTWSC or cut disk cavities

Hybrid laser system for rf gun

- Three Oscillators (two of them are backup)
- Yb doped fiber and Neodymium (Nd) doped laser crystal



UV laser beam profile







Optics layout in the tunnel



1^{st} + 2^{nd} laser line:
laser line:
e- beam bunch length
~ 10 ps (FWHM)



UV laser beam profile

e- source

R. Zhan, SuperKEKB review, 2019

HER injection beam (rf e- gun) status

- HER injection w/ only rf gun since Mar. 11th , 2019.
- Laser system has no significant fault.
- In summer shutdown of 2019, photocathode (Ir_7Ce_2) was replaced by new one (Ir_2Ce) for aiming at better quantum efficiency (Qe).
  - Discharge, frequent VSWR, gradual decrease of bunch charge

- In the last winter shutdown, photocathode was replaced
  - Ir_2Ce => Ir_7Ce_2


SP_A1_G1 (from rf gun)
QMD10E_M (BT)
Ir2Ce
Bunch charge (nC)
5.0
4.5
4.0
3.5
3.0
2.5
2.0
1.5
1.0
0.5
0.0
discharge
VSWR
VSWR
VSWR
VSWR
VSWR
discharge
10/1
10/15
10/29
11/12
11/26
12/10
Date
2019

Laser cleaning / Focused laser size

- 2nd Laser only
- Scan using focused laser beam without RF




LIiBM:SP_A1_G_1:ISNGL:KBE:10S
Ir7Ce2
e- bunch charge (nC)
4
3.5
3
2.5
2
1.5
1
0.5
0
Charge feedback ON
2020/01/01
2020/02/01
2020/03/01
2020/04/01
2020/05/01
2020/06/01
2020/07/01
2020

HER injection beam (rf e- gun) status

- Laser cleaning for recovering Qe.
- Bunch charge feedback works fine to keep bunch charge constant.
- In this summer, diffractive optical element (DOE) will be installed for transverse laser beam shaping (flat top). It could be help for low emittance beam.
- Laser position feedback will be prepared soon.





R. Zhang

e- beam emittance

- εx,y ～15 μm at SectorB, SectorB
- Emittance growth at BT2 in both directions.
- Beam based alignment in BT line is now in progress.
- Emittance could be increased at around solenoid section in Sector1.







Steering magnet and BPM in solenoid section

- There is no BPM and steering magnet between e+ target and 16_5 unit.
- DC steering (x4) and BPM (x4) will be installed in this summer shutdown.
- It could be help to cure e+ beam loss and e- beam emittance growth.

Target: e+ W target
FC_15: flux concentrator
SC: screen monitor
AC: accelerating structure
SP: BPM
SL: solenoid
BM: bend
PX: horizontal pulsed steering
PY: vertical pulsed steering



e+ source setup

Positron target and capture section



DC solenoid
Large aperture S-band acc. Structures (x10)

W target (Φ4 mm)



hole (Φ2 mm)
for low emittance e- beam

W target + flux concentrator (FC)

Y. Enomoto, SuperKEKB review, 2019

e+ bunch charge trend



LER injection beam status

- Bunch charge
  - Stable and enough bunch charge in this stage
  - Primary e-: 11 nC (from gun), 9 nC (on W target), e+ : 1.2 nC (linac end), 0.8 nC (BT)
- Flux concentrator (FC)
  - Previous FC was damaged by large discharge during PhaseII. It was removed in Sept. 2018.
  - Current FC was installed in Jan. 2019.
  - 2 ～ 3 kA operation current (design 12 kA) for stable operation. no significant fault.

Requirements for material of the FC head are
- Good brazing characteristic
- High yield strength even after brazing
- High electric and thermal conductivity

  - New FC made of Cu-alloy (NC50: Cu-Si-Ni) has been tested w/o fault (～ 12 kA).
  - New FC will be installed in summer shutdown of 2020 for aiming at design operation current.

After large discharge…



After large discharge



Slit gap got narrow.
Not possible to apply high voltage unless the gap will be expanded.

FC assembly, base summary

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3	2019 autumn	2020 spring	2020 autumn	2021 winter～	delivery	removal	Present status（2020/6）	remark
Assembly 1								Before 2015	2017/3	Tunnel	
Assembly 2								2016/3		Beam line	
Assembly 3								2017/11		Test bench	
FC base 1								before 2015			Trial product
FC base 2								before 2015			Trial product
FC base 3								before 2015	2017/3	Assembly 1	
FC base 4									2018/9	Tunnel	
FC base 5								2016/7	2020/9	Beam line	
FC base 6								2017/11		Reserved	Hardening (Toyama)
FC base 7*								2019/10		Finished long term test	
FC base 8**								2020/5		Under test	Final version modified
FC base 9**								2021/3		Under design	Final version spare

- *Base 7, 8, 9 (head : Cu → NC50, return yoke : SS400 → permendur)
- **Base 8, 9 Shape optimization (insulation, leakage magnetic field)

red : operation
blue : spare
black : test bench

Y. Enomoto

Test result of new FC: 2020/1 – 2020/6



Replace FC base 7 => 8

During long term test, no trouble (discharge and vacuum pressure problem)
FC base 7 test: ~ May 2020
FC base 8 test: June 2020 ~

Y. Enomoto

e+ beam emittance

- e+ beam emittance in linac and BT1 are smaller than current goal.
- Emittance growth at BT2 in both directions.
- Beam based alignment in BT line is now in progress.







Feedback loops (1)

- Beam energy feedback (J-ARC, LTR, ECS, BT)
  - J-ARC (e-, e+)
  - DR (e+)
  - Linac end
  - BT (e-, e+)
- Energy stability at BT line < 0.025%







Feedback loops (2)

- Beam orbit feedback
  - J-ARC (e-, e+)
  - e+ target upstream (e-, e+)
  - Sector2 (e-)
  - Sector3-5 (e-)
  - Linac end, BT end (e-, e+)



Feedback loops (3)

- RF phase feedback has been newly implemented.
- Quick recover from tunnel work (after maintenance or trouble recovery)

Klystron ON

After linac maintenance





Abnormal injection beam could cause MR abort

- Abnormal beam orbit
  - Pulsed magnet misfire (Sector3-Sector5)

- Abnormal beam energy
  - Klystron down
  - RF phase trip
  - RF pulse shortening (klystron discharge)

Pulsed magnet misfire events



Beam orbit log viewer

Beam abort caused by pulsed magnet misfire

- 49 events in 2020a, 2020b.



Pulsed magnet misfire events

- ～ 100 misfire/day in Feb./Mar. (16 controllers)
- Main control software and event receiver driver were replaced by improved one (Apr. 9th～). # of misfire events decreased to almost zero.
- Misfire appears again (Jun. 10th 22:30～16th 3:23).

network trouble?



(*) including all misfire events (even no beam)

Beam abort caused by injection beam w/ abnormal energy

Jun., 2019 21:19 LER D6V2 LM abort

Beam energy was 50 MeV lower than nominal condition



Horizontal position (mm)

Vertical position (mm)

Bunch charge (nC)

The klystron 51 was down at the time.
The estimation was -46.5 MeV which is consistent with the beam position.

Abnormal energy beam
- Collimator at ECS of linac end -

- Klystron down or rf phase trip could cause energy change.
- In the case of e+ beam, ECS (in linac end) can correct it to a certain extent. Beam can go through BT and into MR.
- To prevent such beam, collimator will be installed in this summer maintenance.





Y. Okayasu

Summary and plan

- RF gun
  - no significant fault
  - Laser cleaning can cure degradation of Qe.
  - Bunch charge feedback works fine.
  - Laser position feedback will be implemented.
  - Diffractive optical element (DOE) will be installed for transverse laser beam shaping (flat top).

- Flux concentrator
  - no significant fault
  - New flux concentrator will be installed for higher e+ bunch charge for higher e+ bunch charge.
  - Steering magnet and BPM will be installed inside solenoid section.

Summary and plan (cont'd)

- Beam abort caused by bad quality beam (orbit, energy)
  - Pulsed magnet misfire (orbit)
    - Control software have been improved.
  - Install collimator at ECS (linac end) in this summer shutdown.
- Feedback loops
  - Orbit feedback Sector2, Sector3-5 work fine.
  - RF phase feedback in many stations work well.
- Vertical pulsed bends and chambers will be replaced new one for 50 Hz operation of thermionic e- gun (LER, PF, PF-AR).
- Beam base alignment at BT line is now in progress.

Backup

Linac Beam Parameters for KEKB/SuperKEKB

Stage	KEKB (final)		Phase-I		Phase-II		Phase-III (interim)		Phase-III (final)	
Beam	e+	e–	e+	e–	e+	e–	e+	e–	e+	e–
Energy	3.5 GeV	8.0 GeV	4.0 GeV	7.0 GeV	4.0 GeV	7.0 GeV	4.0 GeV	7.0 GeV	4.0 GeV	7.0 GeV
Stored current	1.6 A	1.1 A	1.0 A	1.0 A	–	–	1.8 A	1.3 A	3.6 A	2.6 A
Life time (min.)	150	200	100	100	–	–	–	–	6	6
Bunch charge (nC)	primary e- 10 → 1	1	primary e- 8 → 0.4	1	0.5	1	2	2	primary e- 10 → **4**	**4**
Norm. Emittance (γβε) (μmrad)	1400	310	1000	130	200/40 (Hor./Ver.)	150	150/30 (Hor./Ver.)	100/40 (Hor./Ver.)	**100/15** (Hor./Ver.)	**40/20** (Hor./Ver.)
Energy spread	0.13%	0.13%	0.50%	0.50%	0.16%	0.10%	0.16%	0.10%	0.16%	0.07%
Bunch / Pulse	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Repetition rate	50 Hz		25 Hz		25 Hz		50 Hz		50 Hz	
Simultaneous top-up injection (PPM)	3 rings (LER, HER, PF)		No top-up		Partially		4+1 rings (LER, HER, DR, PF PF-AR)		4+1 rings (LER, HER, DR, PF, PF-AR)	

Beam emittance example (~1 nC) w/ multiple wire scanners



Pulse to pulse e-/e+ beam switching

- Pulsed steering magnet control e- beam orbit.
- Low emittance e- beam goes through a hole at center of beam line.





Qe of photocathode (Ir_7Ce_2, Ir_2Ce)



M. Yoshida

Simultaneous beam operation (w/ thermionic and rf e- gun)

- Stable simultaneous top up injection to 4 storage rings (HER, LER, PF, and PF-AR) w/ thermionic gun, rf gun, pulsed magnets.



Previous software structure

In some events, LabVIEW/EPICS IOC communication is delayed or failed.



New software structure (Apr. 9 ~)

- NI VISA based EVR driver (w/o EPICS IOC for EVR control) (H. Saotome)
- Most part of control core (LabVIEW) was rewritten (Y. Enomoto)



Measured emittance

e+ beam (Phase3.2(6.Nov.2019))

γεx [μm]



γεy [μm]



Phase3.3	e+	e-
γεx [μm]	150	100
γεy [μm]	30	40
σδ [%]	0.16(1σ)	0.1(1σ)

Emittances increase

- DR → Sector3
- BT1 → BT2

Beam study will be continued

e- beam (Phase3.2(4.Dec.2019))

γεx [μm]



γεy [μm]



Emittances increase

- C→Sector3
- BT1→BT2

Beam study will be continued

N. Iida, 31. Jan., 2020

Dispersion measurement and correction



- Horizontal dispersion leakage from J-ARC causes the beam position jitter.
- Applying fudge factors to quads in J-ARC, dispersion is well corrected.



Disp. correction w/ quads



Vertical emittance vs. HER Injection efficiency



Y. Ohnishi

Dynamic Aperture for Injected Beam in HER

$\beta_x^* = 60$ mm / $\beta_y^* = 1$ mm

5780_60_1_A_YO3

norm. emittance : 100 μm / 40 μm



Ax: 6.28E-7 Ay: 2.92E-8 $\gamma\varepsilon_x$: 1.00E-4 $\gamma\varepsilon_y$: 4.00E-5

QCS aperture only wit collimators

Injection efficiency = 100 % (no machine error)

norm. emittance : 200 μm / 100 μm



Ax: 7.97E-7 Ay: 7.29E-8 $\gamma\varepsilon_x$: 2.00E-4 $\gamma\varepsilon_y$: 1.00E-4

QCS aperture with collimators

Injection efficiency = 53 %

LER

γεx [μm]



γεy [μm]



e+ horizontal emittance has been improved after ECS bend alignment.

erture for Injected Beam in LER

1704_80_1_A_YO4

$\beta_x^* = 80$ mm / $\beta_y^* = 1$ mm

norm. emittance : 180 μm / 30 μm



QCS aperture with collimators

Injection efficiency = 100 %

(no machine error)

(6/Nov/2019)

norm. emittance : 450 μm / 70 μm



QCS aperture with collimators

Injection efficiency = 86 %

(28/Jun/2019)

Before modification of ECS / BTp

Reducing emittance is in time for βy*=1mm

1. Injection efficiency and background

The injection efficiency increased as emittance decreased by tuning day by day.

Phase3.2
2019c(Autumn)

These efficiencies are calculated at the low current beam in the HER.
→ The effect of Touschek lifetime can be neglected.

βy*=1.0mm



Energy spread became better


Injector operation hours and failure rates
PF injector
KEKB
Tristan
SuperKEKB
Operation hours (hour)
Failure rate (%)
Beam loss rate (%)
Hours
Rates (%)
Fiscal year
8,000
7,000
6,000
5,000
4,000
3,000
2,000
1,000
0
8
7
6
5
4
3
2
1
0
1982 1984 1986 1988 1990 1992 1994 1996 1998 2000 2002 2004 2006 2008 2010 2012 2014 2016 2018

Monitors



- Beam position monitor (x 103)
  - Four strip line electrodes (x 97)
    - **Measurement precision ~ 10 μm**
  - Eight strip line electrodes (x 6) (J-ARC, LTR x2, PF BT, HER BT, LER BT)
- Profile monitor (x 104)
  - Al_2O_3/CrO_3 (AF995R, Demarquest Co.). (t: 1 mm, 0.1 mm), YAG:Ce (t: 0.1 mm)



- Wire scanner (WS) (x 6)
  - SectorA, B, C, 2, 3, 5
- Streak camera (ST) (x 2)
  - SectorA, ~~C~~, 3
- RF monitors for klystron, SLED, acc. structure





Pulsed magnet system

- Pulsed quads (x 28) (w/ ceramic duct) and steering (x 36) were installed at Sector3 to Sector5 in 2017 (on movable girder).
- Pulsed bend, additional quad and steering were installed in 2018 summer and winter shutdown.
- PXIe based control system (Windows 8.1, LabVIEW, EPICS) have worked fine w/o any serious trouble.
- Power supply stability: 0.01% (24 hours)







Movable girder for pulsed magnet (remote controllable, 10 μm step)

e+ source setup 2

FC head + BC + target = FC assembly



Y. Enomoto, SuperKEKB review, 2019

Movable girder for accelerating structure

- Six movable girders have been installed in Sector3 (in summer shutdown of 2019).
  - Four 2-m-long accelerating structures are mounted on one girder.
- It could help to suppress emittance growth due to misalignment.