# DEVELOPMENT OF LLRF CONTROL SOFTWARE FOR THE J-PARC 400 MeV LINAC

Z. Fang, S. Michizono, S. Anami, S. Yamaguchi, F. Naito, Y. Fukui, KEK, Japan T. Kobayashi, H. Suzuki, E. Chishiro, S. Shinozaki, JAEA, Japan

### Abstract

The output energy of the J-PARC proton LINAC will be upgraded from 181 to 400 MeV in the next two years by adding 972-MHz high-β acceleration sections. Recently, the LLRF control software has been upgraded for the J-PARC LINAC, especially for the 972-MHz high-ß systems. Many functions have been added to the LLRF control software, such as 1) gradually increasing the feedback gains in the feedback loop instead of fixed ones, 2) automatic chopped-beam compensation, 3) automatically switching the beam loading compensation in accordance with the different beam operation mode, 4) input rf-frequency tuning carried out by a FPGA to match the rf cavities during the rf start-up, and 5) auto-tuning of the rf cavity tuner by detecting the phase curve of the rf cavity during the field decay instead of the phase difference between the cavity input and output signals. The details of the development of LLRF control software for the J-PARC 400 MeV LINAC will be described in this paper.

### **INTRODUCTION**

The energy of the J-PARC proton LINAC will be upgraded from 181 to 400 MeV, by adding 972-MHz high- $\beta$  acceleration sections. The Low-Level RF (LLRF) control systems for both the 324-MHz low- $\beta$  and 972-MHz high- $\beta$  sections are basically the same, as shown in Fig. 1. The RF signals are controlled by the FPGA-based digital feedback control systems installed in a compact PCI (cPCI). It consists of the CPU, IO, DSP with FPGA, Mixer & IQ modulator, and RF & CLK boards [1-4]. The block diagram of the calculations in the FPGA is shown in Fig.2. The hardware for the 324 and 972 MHz systems is basically the same, except the RF board and Mixer & IQ board, working for different frequency [5]. The software for the two systems is completely the same. Both the hardware and software have been developed and tested. A very good stability of the accelerating fields has been successfully achieved about  $\pm 0.2\%$  in amplitude and  $\pm 0.2$  degree in phase, much better than the requirements of  $\pm 1\%$  in amplitude and  $\pm 1$  degree in phase. This paper mainly describes progresses of the LLRF controller for the J-PARC LINAC, especially for the 972-MHz high- $\beta$  systems.

# GRADUALLY INCREASED FEEDBACK GAINS

In the old LLRF controller, the feedback Proportional Gain (P) and Integral Gain (I) in the feedback loop are fixed during the whole RF pulse. In the new controller, the feedback gains, P and I, have been modified with a rising time as shown in Fig. 3. The parameters of feedback-start time and PI-rising time, as well as the maximum values of P and I, could be adjusted through the PLC touch panel. By using this method, the RF waveforms with feedback ON are expected to become much smoother than before, especially during the RF rising time of the RF cavity.



Fig. 1: Block diagram of the LLRF control system of the J-PARC 400 MeV LINAC.



Fig. 2: Block diagram of the calculations in the FPGA.



Fig. 3: Gradually increased feedback gains used in the feedback loop.

# **CHOPPED BEAM COMPENSATION**

For the 972-MHz high- $\beta$  sections, the operation frequency is three times of that of the low- $\beta$  section, and the decay time of the RF field becomes quite shorter. For the chopped beam operation, a chopped beam compensation is required for the 972-MHz sections, instead of a macro-pulse compensation used for the 324-MHz sections.

In the chopped beam operation, the beam will be modulated by a chopping signal as shown in Fig. 4. The frequency of chopping signal is about 1 MHz. We connect this chopping signal to the IO board of the cPCI control system. Then in the FPGA control program, the FF\_beam is fed forward when the logical AND with inputs of the beam gate and chopping signal has the value 1. Also, in order to adjust the timing between the chopping signal and beam pulse, a delay is added to the chopping signal before the logical AND operation. This delay could be exactly adjusted in a clock of 48 MHz in the FPGA.

The chopped beam compensation has been tested by using a cavity simulator [5]. A stability of the accelerating fields has been successfully achieved about  $\pm 0.4\%$  in amplitude and  $\pm 0.3$  degree in phase.



# BEAM LOADING COMPENSATION SWITCHING

Different beam operation modes with different beam loading are considered for the J-PARC LINAC. A control system with automatically switching the beam loading compensation is designed. We add a mode-exchanging signal to the LLRF control system, which is called as FF\_Beam\_Mult gate with a different pulse width, corresponding to the different beam operation mode. The timing of the LLRF system is shown in Fig. 5. The pulse width of the FF Beam\_Mult could be from 1 µs to 50 µs.

This signal is connected to the IO board of the cPCI and will be detected by the FPGA. Then in the FPGA control program, the different value of the feeding forward for the beam loading compensation (FF\_beam) will be applied corresponding to the pulse width of the FF\_Beam\_Mult gate. Since the pulse width of the FF\_Beam\_Mult gate is detected before each pulse of FF\_beam, switching the beam loading compensation will also be realized before each pulse, without any delay. This function has been successfully applied in the operation of the J-PARC LINAC.



#### 6 6 5

# **INPUT RF-FREQUENCY TUNING**

During the RF start-up, besides the RF-cavity mechanical tuning method, the input RF-frequency tuning method has been added to the new controller.

At first, the detuned frequency  $(\Delta \omega)$  of the RF cavities from the operation frequency will be detected from the cavity phase decay curve [6],

$$\Delta \omega = \frac{d\theta}{dt}$$

Then the input RF-frequency will be controlled by the FPGA to match the RF cavities. The input feeding forwards of I and Q to the IQ modulator are calculated in the FPGA as the following.

 $FF_I = FF\_base\_amp \times \cos(\Delta \omega \cdot t)$ ,

*FF* Q = FF base  $amp \times sin(\Delta \omega \cdot t)$ .

Both of the two tuning methods have been successfully performed in the operation of the present J-PARC LINAC. We prefer to use the input RF-frequency tuning method, since the RF start-up of the cavities could be realized quickly and smoothly, without a long-time tuning of the mechanical tuner. Figure 6 shows an example of the waveforms of RF cavity and DAC during the RF start-up at the DTL03. Furthermore, because the input RF-frequency is always matched to the cavity resonance frequency during the RF start-up, the probability of the interlock due to reflection or VSWR will be reduced apparently.

## **AUTO-TUNING OF RF CAVITY TUNER**

After the RF start-up, when the full power is fed to the RF cavity, the auto-tuning of RF cavity tuner is performed by the LLRF controller to keep the detuned phase of RF cavity within 1 degree. There are two methods to judge whether the RF cavity is close to the resonance state or not. The first method is to detect the phase difference between the cavity input and output signals and to see whether it's the same as that for resonance state. The second method is to detect the phase curve of the RF cavity during the field decay and to see whether the phase is a constant. Now, the second method is used in the operation of J-PARC LINAC, since it's the absolute criterion for resonance state, and it has a higher precision.

## **SUMMARY**

The LLRF controller has been successfully upgraded for the J-PARC 400 MeV LINAC, by adding many functions, such as gradually increasing the feedback gains, automatic chopped-beam compensation, automatically switching the beam loading compensation, input RF-frequency tuning during RF start-up, auto-tuning of the RF cavity tuner by detecting the phase curve of the RF cavity during the field decay, and so on. The new controller has been successfully applied in the operation of the present J-PARC LINAC.



Fig. 6: Waveforms of RF cavity and DAC during the RF start-up at the DTL03.

### REFERENCES

- [1] S. Anami et al., "Control of the Low Level RF System for the J-PARC Linac", LINAC 2004, 739–741, Germany.
- [2] S. Michizono et al., "Digital Feedback System for J-PARC Linac RF Source", LINAC 2004, 742–744, Germany.
- [3] S. Anami et al., "J-PARC Linac Low Level RF Control", Proceedings of the 1st Japan Particle Accelerator Conference and the 29th Linear Accelerator Meeting in Japan, 2004.
- [4] S. Michizono et al., "Digital LLRF Feedback Control System for the J-PARC Linac", Proceedings of the 2nd Japan Particle Accelerator Conference and the 30th Linear Accelerator Meeting in Japan, 2005.
- [5] S. Michizono et al, "Digital Feedback Control for 972-MHz RF System of J-PARC Linac", Proceedings of the 2009 Particle Accelerator Conference (PAC 2009), Canada, May 2009.
- [6] Z. Fang et al, "LLRF Control System of the J-PARC Linac", Proceedings of LINAC 2008 (LINAC 2008), Canada, 1024-1026, Sept. 2008.
- [7] Z. Fang et al, "RF Feedback Control Systems of the J-PARC Linac", Proceedings of the 2007 Particle Accelerator Conference (PAC 2007), USA, 2101-2103, Jun. 2007.